

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/892,503  | 06/28/2001     | Craig Bienick        |                         | 3221            |
| 7.  | 590 11/08/2002 |                      |                         |                 |
| David R. Schaffer DILLER, RAMIK & WIGHT Suite 101 |                |                      | EXAMINER                |                 |
|   |                |                      | ANDERSON, GERALD A      |                 |
| 7345 McWhorter Place<br>Annandale, VA 22003       |                |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER    |
| ,,  |                |                      | 3637                    |                 |
|   |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/08/2002 |                 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| m 70  |  | arnothing   |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 09/892,503   | BIENICK, CRAIG  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | JERRY A ANDERSON   | 3637  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed rs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A   | <u> August 2002</u> .  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th  | is action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20,21 and 28-36 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   | `  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-19, 22-27 and 37-46</u> is/are rejected  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  | r election requirement.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept   | oted or b) objected to by the Exa  | miner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the   | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S  | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |  |  |  |  |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | aminer.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li><li>application from the International Bu</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li></ul>   | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | · ·   |  |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti   | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(  | e) (to a provisional application).  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3   | 5) Notice of Informal  | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office  |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/892,503

Art Unit: 3637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Figures 1-5 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Claims 20, 21, 28-36 have been withdrawn from consideration because the applicant has not included these claims in the group readable on the elected species. The claims to be examined are limited to those claims defining elements of the invention clearly shown by the elected Figures 1-5.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement complies with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), listing of all patents, publications, or other information submitted. However, the date provided should be at least the month and year of publication in the form MM/YYYY. The day of the publication can be omitted.

### Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/892,503

Art Unit: 3637

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-19, 22-27 and 37-46, as presented, are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maier et al in view of Herrmann et al. Maier et al is cited showing a refrigerator compartment 11 with shelf support ledges 13 and a glass shelf 15 with a surrounding plastic frame 14 having recessed side portions which provide ventilation and U-shaped channels 17, 18, 20 engaging the glass shelf. Maier et al fails to show a shelf with uncovered side edge portions or a frame on only the front and/or the back edges of the shelf. Herrmann is cited showing that a glass shelf can, as in Figure 3, be provided with a frame 60, 62 on the front edge and/or on the back edge for the purpose providing trim while leaving the edge portion untrimmed. The shelf of Herrmann is disclosed as adhesively attached to the frame. Since the references are from the same field of endeavor the purpose of Herrmann would have been obvious in the pertinent art of Maier et al at the time of the invention it would have been obvious for one having an ordinary skill in the art to have modified Maier et al with a frame 60, 62 on the front edge and/or on the back edge for the purpose of leaving the edge portion untrimmed in view of Herrmann. Claims 6, 7, 11, 12, 40 and 41 define the method of making the frame. It is well settled that the method of manufacture cannot impart Patentability to a product where the product itself is known.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Beinick, Whitcomb

Application/Control Number: 09/892,503

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry Anderson whose telephone number is 703 038 2202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 703 308 24668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3597 for regular communications and 703 306 4195 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 2197.

Jaa November 4, 2002

> ERALD A. ANDERSON PATENT EXAMINER